

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vinguna 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/933,169	08/21/2001	Larry A. Druga	114302.1721	6443
30734 7590 06/27/2003 BAKER + HOSTETLER LLP WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100 1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W.			EXAMINER	
			CECIL, TERRY K	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

In response to the Examiner's statement that FIG. 3 is not present in the Examiner's file, Applicant submits a copy of FIG. 3 herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

1. alea Jus

<u>PATENT</u>

P. Alan Larson

Registration No. 53,184

Date: March 10, 2003

Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5304

Telephone: (202) 861-1500 Facsimile: (202) 861-1783

•						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Advisory Action	09/933,169	DRUGA, LARRY A.				
navious y nous.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App. Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of the (1) a timely filed amendm	his application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in				
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) o	r b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma	= .					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of th no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expirately only CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Cottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	ire later than SIX MONTHS from VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MON The date on which the petition up od of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months af	n the mailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Inder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension onding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of for reply originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	* **	Annoba. o. the appeal.				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(a) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ıld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		en considered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 15-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13-14</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.				
P. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet	, ,					
		Mr. Terry K. Cecil Examiner Art Unit: 1723	, 1			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations added to the independent claims including (i) requiring a coaxial arrangement of the filter, inlet and outlet and (ii) requiring that the retainers are on the same end of the filter require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: Concerning applicant's arguments, the examiner points out that (i) the coaxial/retainer same end arrangement is newly claimed in claims 3 and 4 such that the final rejection was proper and (ii) in a continuing application, applicant should consider the combination of Cooper or Humbert (teaching the retainers on the same end of a filter) with either McDuffie or Bethel (teaching a coaxial arrangement of the filter, inlet and outlet)..